

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,437	01/22/2004	Shiu-Chun Su	MR2511-116	3036
4586	7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
ROSENBER	RG, KLEIN & LEE	BENNETT, ZAHRA I		
• •	3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043 ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER	
ELLICOTT	21043		2875	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A - 4' 0	10/761,437	SU, SHIU-CHUN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Zahra Bennett	2875					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	January 2004.						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		, prosecution as to the meri	ts is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/ar)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	e				
See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	s of the continue copies not rec	,du.					
Attachment(s)	. 🗖						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) fail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, the phrase "may be" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (US Patent 6,504,477). Lin teaches a first reflection portion (Figure 2: 2), a second reflection portion (23), at least one hollow (22) being provided in a predetermined position of the light-reflection portion, and a flickering diode (Column 2, lines 1-4). Lin further teaches that a hollow (22) may be provided in a bottom position (Figure 2) of the second reflection portion for a reflecting piece to be inlaid therein.

With respect to claim 1, Lin does not teach a flickering diode to be inserted through the first reflection portion and inlaid into the second reflection portion. Lin also

does not teach a third reflection portion. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to insert the flickering diode through the first reflection portion and into the second reflection portion so that is easier to place the diode in the specified area so it is less difficult to manufacture. It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to add a third lightreflection portion to the device to make the light brighter so other drivers are able to see the light from a greater distance.

Page 3

With respect to claim 2, Lin does not teach a reflection piece to inlaid therein the second reflection portion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to add a reflection piece therein the second reflection portion to give direction to the light so the light creates a ring effect.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chen (US Patent 6,619,829).

Chen teaches a transparent ring 21 may be provided at the front of the auxiliary spotlight diaphragm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to add a transparent ring to the device of Chen to produce an auxiliary light.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zahra Bennett whose telephone number is 571-272-2267. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,437 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on 571-272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER